

Kinematics of Tidal Debris from Omega Centauri's Progenitor Galaxy

Arihiro Mizutani¹, Masashi Chiba², and Tsuyoshi Sakamoto¹

ABSTRACT

We present the kinematic properties of a tidally disrupted dwarf galaxy in the Milky Way, based on the hypothesis that its central part once contained the most massive Galactic globular cluster, ω Cen. Dynamical evolution of a self-gravitating progenitor galaxy that follows the present-day and likely past orbits of ω Cen is calculated numerically and the kinematic nature of their tidal debris is analyzed, combined with randomly generated stars comprising spheroidal halo and flat disk components. We show that the retrograde rotation of the debris stars at ~ -100 km s⁻¹ accords with a recently discovered, large radial velocity stream at ~ 300 km s⁻¹ towards the Galactic longitude of $\sim 270^\circ$. These stars also contribute, only in part, to a reported retrograde motion of the outer halo at the North Galactic Pole. The prospects for future debris searches and the implications for the early evolution of the Galaxy are briefly presented.

Subject headings: Galaxy: formation — globular clusters: individual (ω Centauri) — stars: kinematics

1. INTRODUCTION

Omega Centauri, the most massive globular cluster in the Milky Way, is unique in terms of its metallicity content, internal kinematics, and structure. Unlike other Galactic globular clusters, ω Cen shows a wide spread in metallicity (e.g. Norris, Freeman, & Mighell 1996), with a main metal-poor component at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -1.6$, a second smaller peak at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -1.2$, and a long tail extending up to $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \simeq -0.5$. The metal-rich population holds a low velocity dispersion and no sign of rotation, in contrast to the rotating metal-poor population. Furthermore, the metal-rich stars in ω Cen are largely enhanced in *s*-process elements relative

¹Department of Astronomical Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan; mizutnar@cc.nao.ac.jp

²National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

to those in globular clusters and field stars with similar metallicities (e.g. Norris & Da Costa 1995), thereby suggesting that the ejecta from low-mass, asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars had to be retained and incorporated into the next-generation stars.

In spite of its large mass ($5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$), it has been demonstrated by Gnedin et al. (2002) that ω Cen is not unique in its ability to retain the AGB ejecta as found for other clusters. An isolated formation of ω Cen is thus unlikely, because the enriched gas would easily be lost by encountering the Galactic disk. The most viable explanation for the uniqueness of ω Cen is that it was once the dense nucleus of a dwarf galaxy (Freeman 1993). A gravitational potential provided by progenitor’s stellar system and dark matter (as suggested from dwarf spheroidal galaxies in the Local Group, Mateo 1998) would help retaining the enriched gas and let the cluster being self-enriched at least over a few Gigayears.

If this hypothesis is the case for the origin of ω Cen, the question arises: *where and in what form does the stellar system of its progenitor galaxy remain?* Dinescu (2002) first investigated this issue, by examining the possible signature of the progenitor’s tidal debris among nearby metal-poor stars in the catalog of Beers et al. (2000, B00). She identified a group of stars with $-2.0 < [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq -1.5$, which departs from the characteristics of the inner Galactic halo but has retrograde orbits similar to ω Cen. Her simplified disruption model of the progenitor galaxy demonstrated that trailing tidal debris, having orbital characteristics similar to the cluster, can be found in the solar neighborhood, although the concrete spatial distribution and kinematics of the debris stars remain yet unclear.

This work motivates us to conduct an N-body simulation for the tidal disruption of ω Cen’s progenitor galaxy, to obtain the characteristic structure and kinematics of its debris stars and compare with various observations showing signatures of recent merging events in the Milky Way (Gilmore, Wyse, & Norris 2002, GWN; Kinman et al. 2002, K02; Chiba & Beers 2000, CB). In particular, we show that a recently identified stream of stars at heliocentric radial velocity of $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (GWN) is a natural outcome of the current disruption model, without affecting local halo kinematics near the Sun and microlensing optical depth towards the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC).

2. SIMPLE DYNAMICAL MODEL OF A PROGENITOR GALAXY

We calculate the dynamical evolution of an orbiting dwarf galaxy in a fixed external gravitational potential representing the Milky Way. The potential consists of three parts: a spherical Hernquist bulge $\Phi_b(r)$, a Miyamoto-Nagai disk $\Phi_d(R, z)$, and a logarithmic dark halo $\Phi_h(r)$, where r is the Galactocentric distance and (R, z) denote cylindrical coordinates.

Each is given as, $\Phi_b(r) = -GM_b/(r + a)$, $\Phi_d(R, z) = -GM_d/\sqrt{R^2 + (b + \sqrt{z^2 + c^2})^2}$, and $\Phi_h(r) = v_h^2/2 \ln(r^2 + d^2)$, where $M_b = 3.4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $a = 0.7$ kpc, $M_d = 10^{11} M_\odot$, $b = 6.5$ kpc, $c = 0.26$ kpc, $v_h = 186 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $d = 12$ kpc. This choice yields a circular velocity of 228 km s^{-1} at the solar circle of $R_\odot = 8$ kpc and a flat rotation curve outside $R_\odot$.

We set self-gravitating particles in the dwarf galaxy following a King model, where the central density, central velocity dispersion, and core radius are given as $0.3 M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}$, 18.1 km s^{-1} , and 0.56 kpc, respectively. In addition, a particle with the mass of $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ representing ω Cen is placed at the center of the galaxy to trace its orbit. This setting yields the total mass of the galaxy as $M_{tot} = 5.79 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. A part of the mass is provided by stars, which is roughly estimated from the mean metallicity of stars in ω Cen ($\langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle \sim -1.6$), combined with the metallicity-luminosity relation for the Local Group dwarfs (Côté et al. 2000) and the mass-to-light ratio (assuming $M/L \sim 4$ obtained for ω Cen, Meylan et al. 1995), yielding $M_{stars} \sim 10^7 M_\odot$. Thus, our model galaxy is largely dominated by a dark component, in agreement with the observed large M/L in dwarfs (Mateo 1998). The galaxy is represented by a collection of 10^4 particles and the self-gravity is calculated in terms of a multiple expansion of the internal potential to fourth order (Zaritsky & White 1988).

In the course of its orbital motion, a dwarf galaxy is disrupted by Galactic tides, whereas its dense core is expected to survive and follow ω Cen’s orbit. While our calculation in a fixed Galactic potential neglects dynamical friction against progenitor’s orbit, the effect is only modest for the system of $\lesssim 10^8 M_\odot$ (Zhao 2002), especially during a few orbital periods required for tidal disruption. We thus examine two representative orbits for the progenitor, model 1 and 2: model 1 follows the current orbit of ω Cen, whereas for model 2, we calculate an orbit back to the past over ~ 2 Gyr from its current position and velocity by fully taking into account dynamical friction and then set a progenitor galaxy on its non-decaying orbit. These two models provide us with satisfactory information on the generic properties of a tidally disrupted progenitor and we postulate that the realistic nature of their debris is midway between these model predictions. We calculate ω Cen’s orbit, based on the distance³ from the Sun $D = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ kpc, position $(l, b) = (309^\circ, 15^\circ)$, proper motion $(\mu_\alpha \cos \delta, \mu_\delta) = (-5.08 \pm 0.35, -3.57 \pm 0.34) \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$, and heliocentric radial velocity $v_{los} = 232.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Dinescu, Girard, & van Altena 1999). This orbit for model 1 is characterized by frequent disk crossings with a period of $\tau_{orb} = 0.8 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$, retrograde motion, and apo and pericentric distances $(r_{apo}, r_{peri}) = (6.4, 1.1) \text{ kpc}$. For model 2, we obtain $\tau_{orb} = 1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$ and $(r_{apo}, r_{peri}) = (11.3, 3.0) \text{ kpc}$. In both experiments, we adopt

³We estimate this distance, based on the Harris (1996) data and the relation between absolute magnitude of cluster horizontal branch and metallicity derived by Carretta, Gratton, & Clemintini (2000).

the same progenitor mass M_{tot} and place it at apocenter to maximize its survival chances⁴.

Figure 1 shows the spatial distribution of the tidally disrupted debris. Upper (middle) panel shows model 1 (model 2) after the 1.37 (1.86) Gyr orbital excursion of the progenitor galaxy. Lower panel shows the orbit of the galaxy center. In the course of the orbital motion of the galaxy, its structure is made elongated along the orbit induced by Galactic tides, in particular at its pericenter passages, and then the particles are spread out to form the tidal streams along the orbit. A rosette-like feature of the debris becomes steady after about eight orbital periods. Model 1 results in more compact distribution than model 2, which reflects the difference in orbital radii.

Figure 2 shows the velocity distributions of the debris particles in cylindrical coordinates (v_R, v_ϕ, v_z). As is evident, model 1 and 2 provide essentially the same debris kinematics: most remarkable is a sharply peaked v_ϕ distribution at ~ -100 km s⁻¹, arising from a retrograde orbit of a progenitor. These kinematics suggest that the difference in model 1 and 2 resides only in the spatial extent of the debris.

3. EFFECTS OF DEBRIS ON GALACTIC KINEMATICS

3.1. Method for kinematic analysis

In order to assess the reality of the debris stars in light of observed stellar kinematics in the Milky Way, we analyze the kinematics of both the simulated debris and other Galactic stars generated randomly by a Monte Carlo method. The metal-poor halo is modeled as a flattened spheroid $\rho \propto (R^2 + z^2/q^2)^{-3.5/2}$, where q is an axis ratio ranging 0.55-0.7, anisotropic velocity ellipsoid $(\sigma_R, \sigma_\phi, \sigma_z) = (154, 121, 96)$ km s⁻¹, and small mean rotation $\langle v_\phi \rangle = 24$ km s⁻¹, as found for halo stars with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2$ near the Sun (CB). Thin and thick disks are modeled as $\rho \propto \exp(-R/R_d) \sec^2(z/z_d)$, where $R_d = 3.5$ kpc and $z_d = 0.3$ (1) kpc for thin (thick) disk. Both disks rotate at 200 km s⁻¹, having velocity ellipsoids of (34, 25, 20) km s⁻¹ and (46, 50, 35) km s⁻¹ for thin and thick disks, respectively (CB). The relative fraction of each component is fixed using observed local densities near the Sun, in such a manner that the halo and thick-disk densities at $D < 1$ kpc are 0.2 % and 2 % of the thin-disk density, respectively (e.g. Yamagata & Yoshii 1992).

In our model of ω Cen’s progenitor galaxy, the self-gravitating particles represent both

⁴For model 1, this initial mass may be too large as an as-yet-undisrupted galaxy (Zhao 2002), so the debris density in model 1 can be overly represented.

stars and dark matter. We note that a correct estimate for the fraction of stars is uncertain, because their M/L ratio as well as the amount of dark matter in the progenitor is unavailable. As a useful method to incorporate this ambiguity for the current kinematic analysis, we set a parameter f as the fraction of the debris particles relative to halo stars near the Sun, *when all of the particles are regarded as stellar ones*. By this, the normalization of the halo density is obtained for the given debris particles.

A typical value of f for the conversion of the simulated particles to the stars is estimated in the following manner. Model 1 (model 2) yields 21 (74) particles at $D < 2$ kpc, giving the mass density of $\rho_g = 0.4(1.3) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}$ near the Sun, whereas the total mass density and metal-poor halo density have been derived as $8 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}$ (Gates, Gyuk, & Turner 1995) and $6.4 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}$ (Gould, Flynn, & Bahcall 1998), respectively. Then, if the debris stars (with $M_{stars} \sim 10^7 M_\odot$) are distributed in the same manner as the simulated particles (with $M_{tot} = 5.74 \times 10^8 M_\odot$), which would be a reasonable approximation in view of the dissipationless nature of stars, the mass density of the debris stars in the solar neighborhood can be estimated as $(M_{stars}/M_{tot})\rho_g = O(10^{-6}) M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-3}$, which is about 1 % of the halo density. Thus, f , defined here as debris fraction at $D < 2$ kpc, is expected to be of order of a few percents.

3.2. GWN’s radial velocity survey

Recently, GWN reported a spectroscopic survey of ~ 2000 F/G stars down to $V = 19.5$ mag, in the direction against Galactic rotation $(l, b) = (270^\circ, -45^\circ)$ and $(270^\circ, +33^\circ)$, where radial velocities, v_{los} , in combination with distances largely reflect orbital angular momentum. The v_{los} distribution of the stars a few kpc from the Sun shows two stellar streams at $v_{los} \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which are not explained by known Galactic components. While the stream at $v_{los} \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ was reproduced by their model of a merging satellite in prograde rotation, the stream at $v_{los} \sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ remains yet unexplained.

Figure 3a shows the v_{los} distribution for the debris stars of model 2 and halo stars of $q = 0.7$ (i.e. without disks) at $1 < D < 5$ kpc, $260^\circ < l < 280^\circ$, and two fields for b . Figure 3b shows when disk stars are incorporated. As is evident, the debris stars from ω Cen’s progenitor form a local peak at $v_{los} \sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is provided by many stars having $v_\phi \sim -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This is in good agreement with the $v_{los} \sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ stream discovered by GWN. A more flattened halo than the case $q = 0.7$ yields a higher peak, since the density contrast of the debris relative to halo is made higher in this survey region. It is worth noting that model 1 yields essentially the same v_{los} distribution as model 2, reflecting the same velocity distribution, although to attain the same peak height at $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, f

be a few factor larger and the selected range of l be a few degree higher than the respective values in model 2, because of less number of debris stars near the Sun. This rule applies to other considerations below as well.

3.3. Kinematics at the North Galactic Pole

Majewski (1992) suggested that the outer halo at the North Galactic Pole (NGP) shows a retrograde rotation $\langle v_\phi \rangle \simeq -55 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at $z > 4 \text{ kpc}$. Also, K02 reported that their sample of horizontal branch stars at $2 < z < 12 \text{ kpc}$ shows a retrograde rotation at $\langle v_\phi \rangle \simeq -65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. On the other hand, halo stars near the Sun show no retrograde rotation (CB).

To investigate the role of the debris stars in this issue, we select those of model 2 and randomly generated stars at $b > 70^\circ$ and $2 < D < 5 \text{ kpc}$ (resembling K02’s selection). Since the observational determination of full space velocities involves rather inaccurate information of proper motions compared to radial velocities, we convolve the velocity distribution of stars with a Gaussian distribution for velocity errors, having 1σ of a typical 30 km s^{-1} error. The resulting velocity distribution shows a non-Gaussian feature owing to the presence of the debris stars: the v_ϕ distribution holds an extra peak at $\sim -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in addition to the $v_\phi \sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ peak, where the former amplitude becomes comparable to the latter one at f of a few percents, whereas for v_R and v_z , the velocity distributions are made slightly asymmetric. However, the change of $\langle v_\phi \rangle$ by the inclusion of the debris stars with $f = 5 \%$ amounts to only -19 (-14) km s^{-1} for $q = 0.55$ (0.7), which are still insufficient for explaining the reported $\langle v_\phi \rangle = -35 \sim -65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Also, if we extend the selection of the stars at higher z or instead consider model 1, the changes of $\langle v_\phi \rangle$ become smaller than the above mentioned values, because there are no debris stars in our current model. Thus, it is safe to conclude that the debris stars contribute only in part to a reported retrograde motion at the NGP.

3.4. Local halo kinematics and microlensing towards LMC

We select the nearby debris and halo stars at $6.5 < R < 9.5 \text{ kpc}$, $z < 4 \text{ kpc}$, and $D < 4 \text{ kpc}$ (as was drawn by CB), convolve the velocities with a Gaussian error distribution of $1 \sigma = 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and compare with the corresponding stars with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq -2$ in B00. It follows that the non-Gaussian feature in velocities is much weaker than that at the NGP: the change of $\langle v_\phi \rangle$ for $f = 5 \%$ is only -9 (-10) km s^{-1} in model 1 (model 2). This is due to the smaller debris fraction near $z = 0$ than at high $|z|$.

The effects of the debris stars on the microlensing optical depth towards LMC, τ , are

modest as well. Following the Gould (1999) prescription for τ and investigating the debris within $10^\circ \times 10^\circ$ centered at LMC, we arrive at $\tau \lesssim 10^{-7} f$, thereby indicating that τ provided by the debris stars is much smaller than the observed τ of $O(10^{-7})$.

4. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that our fiducial models of an orbiting dwarf galaxy that once contained ω Cen predict a sequence of tidal streams in retrograde rotation and their existence is imprinted in kinematics of nearby stars, especially in the direction against Galactic rotation (GWN) and at the NGP (K02), while local halo kinematics and microlensing towards LMC remain unchanged. The streams are mostly distributed inside the solar circle, as suggested from the current orbit of ω Cen (Dinescu 2002). In contrast to the Sgr dwarf galaxy having polar orbit, the orbit of ω Cen’s progenitor galaxy is largely affected by a non-spherical disk potential, where the orbital plane exhibits precession with respect to the Galactic Pole, causing self-crossing of tidal streams in the disk. The projection of the orbit perpendicular to the disk shows an ‘X’-like feature, thereby leaving denser streams at high $|z|$ than at low $|z|$ for a given radius. This explains the significance of the debris at the NGP compared to the solar neighborhood.

Existing kinematic studies of Galactic stars to search for a signature of ω Cen’s progenitor galaxy are yet confined to nearby stars, where the significance of the debris streams is modest, as shown here. Searches of stars inside the solar circle are more encouraging (Fig. 1), in particular in the directions of $l \sim 320^\circ$ and $l \sim 50^\circ$, where we expect the presence of high-velocity streams at $v_{los} = 200 \sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $-400 \sim -300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. Future radial velocity surveys of these fields including the sample of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey or planned RAdial Velocity Experiment are worth exploring in this context. Also, detailed abundance studies of candidate stream stars will be intriguing, because such stars may exhibit different abundance patterns from field halo stars, as found in dwarf galaxies (Shetrone, Côté, & Sargent 2001).

A yet unsettled issue is the origin of a progenitor satellite orbiting inside the solar circle, because dynamical friction alone from the present-day *smooth* Galactic components is insufficient for shrinking the orbit if it was born at a large distance (say, $\sim 50 \text{ kpc}$) from Galactic center (Zhao 2002). One of the possibilities to preclude it may be that the merging of a satellite occurred while the Milky Way was still in the process of halo formation via hierarchical merging of several subgalactic clumps; successive gravitational interaction among clumps may help reducing the orbital angular momentum of a progenitor efficiently. Also, a progenitor may have formed in the vicinity of the proto-Galaxy, where the environment of a

strong tidal field promotes the formation of a compact M32-like galaxy (Burkert 1994) and its high density affords the survival chances until the epoch of the Galactic disk formation. Then, if a progenitor retained gas, growing Galactic tides induce the infall of gas into the progenitor center and trigger the formation of a globular cluster there (Bekki & Chiba 2002) similar to ω Cen. The story is yet speculative but worth pursuing based on sophisticated numerical codes for Galaxy formation.

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments. M.C. thanks Kenji Bekki and Tim Beers for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Beers, T. C., Chiba, M., Yoshii, Y., Platais, I., Hanson, R. B., Fuchs, B., & Rossi, S. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 2866 (B00)
- Bekki, K., & Chiba, M. 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 245
- Burkert, A. 1994, *MNRAS*, 266, 877
- Carretta, E., Gratton, R.G., & Clemintini, G. 2000, *MNRAS*, 316, 721
- Chiba, M., & Beers, T. C. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 2843 (CB)
- Côté, P., Marzke, R. O., West, M. J., & Minniti, D. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 869
- Dinescu, D. I. 2002, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 265, Omega Centauri: A Unique Window into Astrophysics*, ed. F. van Leeuwen, J. D. Hughes, & G. Piotto (San Francisco: ASP), 365
- Dinescu, D. I., Girard T. M., & van Altena, W. F. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1792
- Freeman, K. C. 1993, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 48, The Globular Cluster-Galaxy Connection*, ed. G. H. Smith & J. P. Brodie (San Francisco: ASP), 608
- Gates, E. I., Gyuk, G., & Turner, E. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, L123
- Gilmore, G., Wyse, R. F. G., & Norris, J. E. 2002, *ApJ*, 574, L39 (GWN)
- Gnedin, O. Y., Zhao, H.-S., Pringle, J. E., Fall, S. M., Livio, M., & Meylan, G. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L23
- Gould, A. 1999, *ApJ*, 525, 734
- Gould, A., Flynn, C., & Bahcall, J. N. 1998, *ApJ*, 503, 798
- Harris, W. E. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1487
- Kinman, T. D., Cacciari, C., Bragaglia, A., Buzzoni, A., & Spagna, A. 2002, in *Galactic Dynamics Workshop*, in press (astro-ph/0211243) (K02)
- Majewski, S. R. 1992, *ApJS*, 78, 87
- Mateo, M. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 435
- Meylan, G., Mayor, M., Duquenois, A., & Dubath, P. 1995, *A&A*, 303, 761

- Norris, J. E., & Da Costa, G. S. 1995, *ApJ*, 447, 680
- Norris, J. E., Freeman, K. C., & Mighell, K. L. 1996, *ApJ*, 462, 241
- Shetrone, M. D., Côté, P., & Sargent, W. L. W. 2001, *ApJ*, 548, 592
- Yamagata, T., & Yoshii, Y. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 117
- Zaritsky, D., & White, S. D. M. 1988, *MNRAS*, 235, 289
- Zhao, H.-S. 2002, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 265, Omega Centauri: A Unique Window into Astrophysics*, ed. F. van Leeuwen, J. D. Hughes, & G. Piotto (San Francisco: ASP), 391

Fig. 1.— Upper (middle) panel shows the spatial distribution of the tidally disrupted debris for model 1 (model 2) after the 1.37 (1.86) Gyr orbital excursion of ω Cen’s progenitor galaxy. Lower panel shows the orbit of the galaxy center for model 1 (dotted line) and 2 (solid line). The plots are projected onto three orthogonal planes, where the Sun is located at $x = -8$ kpc and xy corresponds to the disk plane. A frame measures 15 kpc on a side of each panel. The current position of ω Cen is at $(x, y, z) = (-4.8, -4.0, 1.4)$ kpc.

Fig. 2.— Velocity distribution of the debris particles in cylindrical coordinates, v_R (dotted), v_ϕ (solid), v_z (dashed), for model 1 (a) and 2 (b).

Fig. 3.— (a) Distribution of the heliocentric radial velocities in the direction of the GWN survey, for the debris stars of model 2 and randomly generated halo stars with $q = 0.7$. We select the stars at $1 < D < 5$ kpc and $260^\circ < l < 280^\circ$ in the fields of $30^\circ < |b| < 50^\circ$ (with $f = 1$ % and 3 % for dotted and solid histograms, respectively) and $20^\circ < |b| < 40^\circ$ (with $f = 5$ %: dashed histogram). (b) The same as (a) but incorporating the randomly generated disk stars as well for $30^\circ < |b| < 50^\circ$ with $f = 5$ % (solid histogram). Dotted histogram denotes the contribution from the metal-poor halo alone.

This figure "f1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0304361v2>

This figure "f2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0304361v2>

This figure "f3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0304361v2>